

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

•

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,686	11/06/2003	John Preben Jensen	16014	8992
23389 7590 01/22/2008 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			WONG, LESLIE A	
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1,111 11000		1794	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/702,686	JENSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leslie Wong	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a r will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 N	November 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	are withdrawn from consider	eration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
•••					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Profess Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number:

10/702,686 Art Unit: 1794

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 2, 2007 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-27, 39-53, 55-60, and 63-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kearney et al (US 5466294), Hyoky et al (WO 96/10650), or Yukio et al (US 6379735) in view of Madsen et al (US 4432806).

Kearney et al teach a process for separating sugar beet juice into different components using chromatographic techniques as is claimed (see entire patent, especially column 7, lines 59-66 and the Figure).

Hyoky et al teach a method for the fractionation of sucrose-containing solutions such as sugar beet using chromatographic techniques including multiple fractionations (see entire document, especially Table 5).

Application/Control Number:

10/702,686 Art Unit: 1794

Yukio et al teach the fractionation of molasses (from sugar beet) using chromatography to obtain flavor components as is claimed (see entire patent, especially column 4, lines 10-22 and column 5, line 64 to column 6, line 11).

The claims appear differ as to the recitation of flavor improver, the specific amounts claimed, and the use of membrane filtration.

Madsen et al disclose the use of ultrafiltration for the extraction of sugar beet material (see entire patent).

The prior art teaches the production of the same product using the same components. Flavor improvement would be no more than obvious to that of the prior art as the same components and process steps are used. In the absence of a showing to the contrary the amounts claimed would be no more than a matter of choice and well-within the skill of the art.

The amount of betaine is seen to be no more than obvious to that of the prior art.

Furthermore, Kearney et al teach a betaine content of .92% (see Example 1) where it appears that the amount of betaine is readily adjustable.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use any fractionation means as taught by Kearney et al, Hyoky et al, Yukio et al, and Madsen et al to obtain the non-sucrose components of sugar beet extract because the use of fractionation in the preparation and separation of sugar beets is conventional in the art.

Application/Control Number:

10/702,686

Art Unit: 1794

It is further noted that claims 1, 3-6, 8-27, 39-53, 55-60, and 63-65 are product claims not process claims. The recitation that the product is made by a new process, if the process were indeed new and patentable, does not render an otherwise unpatentable product new and patentable. It is pointed out that the rejected claims are product claims and not process claims. The product must stand on its own invention, independently of the process of producing same. See In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 195; In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964; Ex parte Jungfer, 18 USPQ 2nd 1976.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1794

LAW January 17, 2008